RECEIVED FOR SCANNING VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT

OCT 09 2020

David R. Olan (SBN 144634) 1 Philippe M. Gaudard (SBN 331744) **OLAN LAW CORPORATION** 212 Marine St., Suite 302 3 Santa Monica, California 90405 Telephone: (310) 566-0010 (310) 566-0017 Facsimile: david@olanlaw.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiff, ANYSSA MENDOZA, 6 **OBIE QUALIS** 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA 9 10 ANYSSA MENDOZA, an individual; and OBIE Case No.: QUALIS, an individual; 11 Plaintiffs. 12 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:** 13 VS. 1. Negligence/Negligence Per Se 2. Vicarious Liability 14 RYTECH, INC., a Corporation; DOE 1, an 3. Negligent Retention individual; and DOES 2 through 25, Inclusive; 15 [Unlimited Civil Action] 16 Defendant(s). 17 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 18 COMES NOW PLAINTIFFS ANYSSA MENDOZA and OBIE QUALIS, by and through 19 their attorneys of record, and for causes of action against DEFENDANTS RYTECH, INC., 20 DOE 1, and DOES 2 THROUGH 25 inclusive, hereby complains and allege as follows: 21 1. PLAINTIFF ANYSSA MENDOZA (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF MENDOZA") is, and at all 22 23 relevant times was, an individual residing in the County of Ventura, State of California. 2. PLAINTIFF OBIE QUALIS (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF QUALIS") is, and at all relevant 24 times was, an individual residing in the County of Ventura, State of California. 25 3. DEFENDANT RYTECH INC. (hereinafter "DEFENDANT RYTECH") is, and at all 26 27 relevant times was, a business entity headquartered and/or incorporated in the County of Ventura, State of California. 28 1

- 4. DEFENDANT DOE 1 (hereinafter "DOE 1") is, and at all relevant times was, an individual traveling through the County of Ventura, State of California operating a large Mercedes truck.
- 5. PLAINTIFFS are currently ignorant as to the true names and capacities of DEFENDANTS sued herein as DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and therefore sues said DEFENDANTS by such fictitious names. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and therefore alleges that each DEFENDANT sued herein under such fictitious name is in some way legally responsible and/or liable for PLAINTIFFS' injuries and damages. PLAINTIFFS will seek leave to amend this Complaint upon the discovery of the true names and capacities of such DEFENDANTS.
- 6. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that at all times discussed herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were the employees, agents, representatives, parents, subsidiaries, joint venturers, and/or partners of each and every other DEFENDANT, and at all times alleged herein, DEFENDANTS were acting within the purpose and scope of said agency, employment, representation, partnership, and/or joint venture, and for the mutual benefit and/or profit of each and every other DEFENDANT.
- 7. Jurisdiction for this action is proper as the circumstances and events that give rise to this action occurred in Ventura County, State of California. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that the damages sought herein exceed \$25,000.00.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. On May 10, 2019, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA was a lawfully seat-belted driver in a Jeep Compass on Johnson Drive at the intersection of Bristol Road in the County of Ventura.
- At same time and location, PLAINTIFF QUALIS was a lawfully seat-belted fault-free passenger of same Jeep Compass.
- 10. At that same time and location, DOE 1 was in unlawful operation of a large Mercedes truck on Johnson Drive at the intersection of Bristol Road, in the County of Ventura.
- 11. At time and place, DOE 1 was driving the vehicle under the employ of DEFENDANT RYTECH in the normal course of business acting within the purpose and scope of said

employment relationship, a	agency, representation,	partnership,	and/or joint	venture,	and	fc
the mutual benefit and/or profit of each and every other DEFENDANT.						

- 12. At time and place, DOE 1 was acting within the scope and purpose of said employment relationship, agency, representation, partnership, and/or joint venture, and for the mutual benefit and/or profit of each and every other DEFENDANT at the time of INCIDENT as indicated by his employment records.
- 13. At same time and location, DOE 1 rear-ended PLAINTIFFS as a result of violations of multiple sections of <u>California Vehicle Code</u>, including but not limited to, <u>California Vehicle</u> Code sections 22350 and 21703.
- 14. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFFS' vehicle was struck with such force due to DOE 1'S inattention and a speed which was too fast for traffic conditions
- 15. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFF'S vehicle was struck with such force that it impacted the vehicle directly in front of PLAINITIFFS'.
- 16. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA sustained serious and permanent injuries including but not limited to, head injuries, her abdomen striking the steering wheel, abdominal pain, premature contractions, pain to her upper back, pain to her head, pain to her neck, cervical injuries, spinal injury, sprain of ligaments of cervical spine, migraines, thoracic injury, sprain of ligaments of thoracis spine, and feeling "shocked and dazed."
- 17. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFF QUALIS sustained severe and permanent injuries including but not limited to head injuries, facial injuries including a lacerated lip, and feeling "shocked and dazed."
- 18. At same time and location, emergency personnel were dispatched to the scene of the INCIDENT. Emergency responders included, but limited to, American Medical Response Ambulance services.
- 19. At same time and location, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA was transported via ambulance of American Medical Response to Community Memorial Hospital for emergency evaluation and care.

20. As a direct and proximate result of DOE 1'S unlawful driving, PLAINTIFFS have sustained, but not limited to, severe personal injury, severe emotional distress, medical expenses, and loss of earning capacity, the exact amount of said losses which will be stated according to proof pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.10.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence/Negligence Per Se)

AGAINST DEFENDANT RYTECH, DOE 1, AND DOES 2 THROUGH 25

- 21. PLAINTIFFS re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. On May 10, 2019, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA was a lawfully seat-belted driver in a Jeep Compass on Johnson Drive at the intersection of Bristol Road in the County of Ventura.
- 23. At same time and location, PLAINTIFF QUALIS was a lawfully seat-belted fault-free passenger of same Jeep Compass.
- 24. At that same time and location, DOE 1 was in unlawful operation of a large Mercedes truck on Johnson Drive at the intersection of Bristol Road, in the County of Ventura.
- 25. At same time and location, DOE 1 rear-ended PLAINTIFFS as a result of violations of multiple sections of <u>California Vehicle Code</u>, including but not limited to, <u>California Vehicle</u> <u>Code sections 22350 and 21703.</u>
- 26. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFFS' vehicle was struck with such force due to DOE 1'S inattention and a speed which was too fast for traffic conditions
- 27. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFF'S vehicle was struck with such force that it impacted the vehicle directly in front of PLAINITIFFS'.
- 28. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA sustained serious and permanent injuries including but not limited to, head injuries, her abdomen striking the steering wheel, abdominal pain, premature contractions, pain to her upper back, pain to her head, pain to her neck, cervical injuries, spinal injury, sprain of ligaments of cervical spine, migraines, thoracic injury, sprain of ligaments of thoracis spine, and feeling "shocked and dazed."
- 29. Upon forceful impact, PLAINTIFF QUALIS sustained severe and permanent injuries

including but not limited to head injuries, facial injuries including a lacerated lip, and feeling "shocked and dazed."

- 30. At same time and location, emergency personnel were dispatched to the scene of the INCIDENT. Emergency responders included, but limited to, American Medical Response Ambulance services.
- 31. At same time and location, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA was transported via ambulance of American Medical Response to Community Memorial Hospital for emergency evaluation and care.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of DOE 1'S unlawful driving, PLAINTIFFS have sustained, but not limited to, severe personal injury, severe emotional distress, medical expenses, and loss of earning capacity, the exact amount of said losses which will be stated according to proof pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.10.
- 33. DOE 1 owed a duty of care to PLAINTIFFS and others to safely, reasonably, and prudently drive, operate, control, and/or maintain their vehicles in such a manner so as to avoid subjecting PLAINTIFFS and others to unreasonable risks of injury, harm, or damage.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of DOE 1'S unlawful driving, PLAINTIFFS have sustained, but not limited to, severe personal injury, severe emotional distress, medical expenses, and loss of earning capacity, the exact amount of said losses which will be stated according to proof pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 425.10.
- 35. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that, at all times relevant herein, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, owed duties of care to PLAINTIFFS and others to safely, reasonably, and prudently drive, operate, control, and/or maintain their vehicles in such a manner so as to avoid subjecting PLAINTIFFS and others to unreasonable risks of injury, harm, or damage.
- 36. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANTS, and each of them, breached this duty of care by failing to operate, drive, and/or maintain their vehicles so as to cause a collision between DEFENDANT vehicle and PLAINTIFFS' vehicle, thereby causing injury and damage to PLAINTIFFS.

- 37. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that, at all times relevant herein, there were in effect various statutes, codes, ordinances, and/or regulations governing the use, operation, and/or maintenance of the Mercedes truck. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that said statutes, codes, ordinances, and/or regulations were designed for the protection of PLAINTIFFS and others and to avoid the type of injury and damages suffered by PLAINTIFFS herein. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANTS owed duties to PLAINTIFFS and others to operate, use, drive, and/or maintain their vehicles in accordance with said statutes, codes, ordinances, and/or regulations.
- 38. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANTS, and each of them, breached this duty of care by failing to use, drive, operate, and/or maintain their vehicles in accordance with said statutes, codes, ordinances, and/or regulations. Among other things, DEFENDANT operated said vehicle in violation of multiple sections of the California Vehicle Code, including but not limited to, California Vehicle Code Sections 22350 and 21703.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANT'S aforementioned breaches, PLAINTIFFS sustained personal injuries and damages including, but not limited to, personal physical injuries, past and future medical expenses, property damage, physical pain, mental suffering, emotional distress, anxiety, adverse emotional reaction, loss of earnings, loss of earning capacity, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life, all to PLAINTIFFS' special and general damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Vicarious Liability)

AGAINST DEFENDANT RYTECH, DOE 1, AND DOES 2 THROUGH 25

- 40. PLAINTIFFS re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 39 above.
- 41. On May 10, 2019, PLAINTIFF MENDOZA was a lawfully seat-belted driver in a Jeep Compass on Johnson Drive at the intersection of Bristol Road in the County of Ventura.

- 42. At same time and place, DOE 1 was driving the vehicle under the employ of DEFENDANT RYTECH in the normal course of business acting within the purpose and scope of said employment relationship, agency, representation, partnership, and/or joint venture, and for the mutual benefit and/or profit of each and every other DEFENDANT.
- 43. At same time and place, DOE 1 was acting within the scope and purpose of said employment relationship, agency, representation, partnership, and/or joint venture, and for the mutual benefit and/or profit of each and every other DEFENDANT at the time of INCIDENT as indicated by his employment records.
- 44. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT is vicariously liable for PLAINTIFFS' injuries, damages, and harms, by virtue of the negligence of its employee, DOE 1, who was acting within the scope and purpose of the aforementioned employment relationship.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' aforementioned breaches, PLAINTIFFS sustained personal injuries and damages including, but not limited to, personal physical injuries, past and future medical expenses, property damage, physical pain, mental suffering, emotional distress, anxiety, adverse emotional reaction, loss of earnings, loss of earning capacity, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life, all to PLAINTIFFS' special and general damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Retention)

AGAINST DEFENDANT RYTECH, DOE 1, AND DOES 2 THROUGH 25

- 46. PLAINTIFFS re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 45 above.
- 47. PLAINTIFFS hereby alleges that DEFENDANT RYTECH had knowledge and/or should have had knowledge that DOE 1 was unlawfully operating vehicle due violations of multiple sections of the <u>California Vehicle Code</u>, including but not limited to, <u>California Vehicle Code Sections 22350 and 21703</u> and yet continued to retain DOE 1 as an employee for aforementioned business purposes.

- 48. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT RYTECH owed a duty of care to PLAINTIFFS and breached their duty of care, by retaining DOE 1, which is a direct and proximate cause of severe permanent injury and damage to PLAINTIFFS.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' aforementioned breaches, PLAINTIFFS sustained personal injuries and damages including, but not limited to, personal physical injuries, past and future medical expenses, property damage, physical pain, mental suffering, emotional distress, anxiety, adverse emotional reaction, loss of earnings, loss of earning capacity, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life, all to PLAINTIFFS' special and general damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For special and/or economic damages in an amount according to proof;
- 2. For general and/or non-economic damages in an amount according to proof;
- 3. For legal interest on the judgment;
- 4. For costs of suit as permitted by law; and
- 5. For all other relief that this court deems just and proper.

20 ||

Dated: September 4, 2020

OLAN LAW CORPORATION

DAVID R. OLAN

PHILIPPE M. GAUDARD

Attorneys for

ANYSŠA MENDOZA

OBIE QUALIS

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

ANYSSA MENDOZA and OBIE QUALIS_hereby demand a trial by jury as to all issues and causes of action so triable.

Dated: October 8, 2020

OLAN LAW CORPORATION

DAVID R. OLAN PHILIPPE M. GAUDARD

Attorneys for ANYSSA MENDOZA OBIE QUALIS